

DIT TENTAMEN IS IN ELEKTRONISCHE VORM BESCHIKBAAR GEMAAKT DOOR DE  $\mathcal{BC}$  VAN A-ESKWADRAAT.  
A-ESKWADRAAT KAN NIET AANSPRAKELIJK WORDEN GESTELD VOOR DE GEVOLGEN VAN EVENTUELE FOUTEN  
IN DIT TENTAMEN.

## Graphics 2007/2008

### Midterm Exam

Thu, Dec 13, 2007, 13:15–15:00

Solutions (sketch) and comments

**Errors and omissions excepted!**

## Problem 1: Vectors, basic geometric shapes, intersections

**Subproblem 1.1 [2 pt]** Which of the following answers are correct? Write down *all* possible solutions (i.e. there might be more than one correct answer to each question!). It is *not* needed to give an explanation.

The intersection of ...

- (i) ... a line and a sphere can have exactly    [a] 0    [b] 1    [c] 2    or    [d]  $\infty$     solutions
- (ii) ... a line and a plane can have exactly    [a] 0    [b] 1    [c] 2    or    [d]  $\infty$     solutions
- (iii) ... a plane and a plane can have exactly    [a] 0    [b] 1    [c] 2    or    [d]  $\infty$     solutions
- (iv) ... three planes can have exactly    [a] 0    [b] 1    [c] 2    or    [d]  $\infty$     solutions

- (i) 0 if the line misses the sphere  
1 if the line "touches" the sphere but doesn't cross it  
2 if line and sphere intersect
- (ii) 0 if they are parallel  
1 if they intersect  
 $\infty$  if the line lies on the plane
- (iii) 0 if they are parallel  
 $\infty$  if they are identical or intersect in a line
- (iv) If the intersection of the first 2 planes (cf. iii) ...  
... has 0 solutions: 0 solutions for intersect. w. 3rd plane  
... intersect in a line: 0, 1, or  $\infty$  solutions (cf. ii)  
... is a plane: 0 or  $\infty$  solutions (cf. iii)

Note: It was not necessary to give an explanation here!

**Subproblem 1.2 [4 pt]** Assume the following three points in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$\mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{c} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

(1.2a) Give a parametric equation of the line  $l$  through the points  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$ .

(1.2b) What is the geometric interpretation of the parametric equation given in (1.2a)?

(1.2c) Calculate a normal vector  $\mathbf{n}$  for the plane defined by  $\mathbf{a}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$ , and  $\mathbf{c}$

(1.2d) Create an implicit representation of the plane defined by  $\mathbf{a}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$ , and  $\mathbf{c}$ .

(Note: "Create" means that it is not sufficient to just write down the equation of the plane but that we should be able to recognize how you got this solution.)

a)  $\vec{p}(t) = \vec{a} + t(\vec{b} - \vec{a}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$  (Note: Other solutions exist)

b) There are several ways to give a well-defined specification of a line, e.g. via two points on the line, a normal vector and a point on the line, or a direction and a point on the line.

The parametric equation is related to the latter case.  
Hence, any answer including the keywords "point/position" and "direction/orientation" usually got full marks.

Note: Some people misinterpreted this question and tried to describe the appearance of this particular line in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

If the description was correct and reasonable, they also got full marks.

c) The cross-product of any 2 vectors on the plane will give a correct solution, e.g.

$$(\vec{b} - \vec{a}) \times (\vec{c} - \vec{a}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -6 \\ -6 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

d) Impl. repres. of a plane:  $ax + by + cz + d = 0$   
with  $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}$  being a normal vector of the plane, e.g. the one calculated in c).

To get the correct value for  $d$ , we can just insert any point on the plane, e.g.  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  which gives us

$$-6x - 6y + 4z + 8 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d = -8$$

$$[-6x - 6y + 4z + 8 = 0]$$

## Problem 2: Matrices

Subproblem 2.1 [1 pt] Prove that matrix multiplication is not commutative, i.e. that in general  $AB \neq BA$ .

maybe the easiest way to show this, is to use an example where the dimensions don't match,  
e.g.

$$(1 \times 2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \quad \dots \quad \checkmark \quad \text{but } (1 \times 2) \times (1 \times 2) \text{ is undefined}$$

In the lecture, I used  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$  and showed that  $a_{11}$  is different for both ~~cases~~ cases.

**Subproblem 2.2 [3 pt]** Assume the following three planes in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$\begin{aligned}x + 2y + 8z &= 11 \\x + 4y + 12z &= 17 \\4y + 10z &= 14\end{aligned}$$

(2.2a) Construct all intersection points of the three planes using Gaussian elimination.

(2.2b) What is the geometric interpretation of your solution?

a) ... (straight forward) The correct solution is

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

b) All 3 planes intersect in a single point, i.e.  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Note: If you got a wrong result in a) but gave the correct interpretation of it in b), you got full mark

**Subproblem 2.3 [2 pt]** Show that for a  $n \times n$ -matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{AA}^T$  is a symmetric matrix (i.e. you have to show that  $c_{ij} = c_{ji}$  for any coefficient  $c_{ij}$  of the matrix  $\mathbf{AA}^T$ ).

There are different ways to show this, e.g.:

let  $a_{ij}$  be the coefficient of matrix  $\mathbf{A}$   
and  $a_{ij}^*$  the ones of matrix  $\mathbf{A}^T$ .

Then:  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}^*$  (equ. A)  
(and vice versa, obviously)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Hence: } c_{ij} &= a_{i0} \cdot a_{0j}^* + \dots + a_{in} \cdot a_{nj}^* && \xrightarrow{\text{equ. A:}} a_{ij}^* = a_{ji} \\ &= a_{i0} \cdot a_{jo} + \dots + a_{in} \cdot a_{jn} && \xrightarrow{\text{equ. A:}} a_{ij} = a_{ji}^* \\ &= a_{oi}^* \cdot a_{jo} + \dots + a_{ni}^* \cdot a_{jn} && \xrightarrow{\text{a}_{ij} = a_{ji}^*} a_{ij} = a_{ji}^* \\ &= a_{jo} \cdot a_{oi}^* + \dots + a_{jn} \cdot a_{ni}^* \\ &= c_{ji} && \text{f.a. } i, j \quad \checkmark\end{aligned}$$

---

Note: Many people just demonstrated one particular case (or even a particular example) and then said, that it is obvious or trivial that their case generalizes to random values of  $n$ .

Although giving a single example (or some examples) is obviously not enough to prove a general case, we were generous and still gave 0.5 to 1.5 marks, depending on the quality of your particular representation.

### Problem 3: Transformations

**Subproblem 3.1 [2 pt]** Assume the following transformation matrices:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{C} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Associate each of these matrices with one of the following statements: (Note: You only have to give the letter of the correct matrix **A**, **B**, **C**, or **D** for each statement, i.e. an explanation is *not* needed.)

This transformation matrix represents ...

- |                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| (i) ... a reflection on $y = 0$  | (iii) ... a reflection on $x = y$         |
| (ii) ... a reflection on $x = 0$ | (iv) ... a point reflection in the origin |

Solution:

$$i \rightarrow B$$

$$ii \rightarrow A$$

$$iii \rightarrow D$$

$$iv \rightarrow C$$

Note: There was an unexpected high number of mistakes for (i) and (ii). Unfortunately many people did not realize that  $y=0$  represents the  $x$ -axis and  $x=0$  the  $y$ -axis and therefore confused the two results.

**Subproblem 3.2 [1 pt]** Write down the  $3 \times 3$  matrix for a rotation by an angle of  $\theta$  around the  $x$ -axis in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ 0 & \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

Note: Displaying the minus sign in front of the sines is also correct since it just represents a rotation in the other direction (but nevertheless still around the x-axis)

**Subproblem 3.3 [1 pt]** Describe in your own words what happens to a vector  $\mathbf{v}$  if you apply the following transformation matrix to it:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & x_m \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & y_m \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & z_m \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

✓

The matrix is a combination of a scaling matrix and a transformation matrix ( $x_m, y_m, z_m$  and homogeneous coords.).

Since a vector has no location, it can not be "moved", so the correct answer would have been sth. like "... gets scaled by factor 2".

Unfortunately, we have been a little imprecise in the formulation of this question, ie. did not ~~not~~ mention that it is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , which is why we were generous in the grading and gave everyone answering sth. like "... gets scaled by factor 2 and translated by  $x_m, y_m$ , and  $z_m$  in x-, y-, and z-direction, respectively" full marks as well.

**Subproblem 3.4 [2 pt]** The following matrix defines scaling (in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) by a factor of  $a$  and  $b$  in  $x$ - and  $y$ -direction, respectively:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$$

Prove that matrix multiplication with this scaling matrix is a linear transformation.

Unfortunately, not many people came up with correct solutions to this problem, although it was quite easy.

Just write down the definition of a linear transformation i.e.

- (i)  $T(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) = T(\vec{u}) + T(\vec{v})$
- (ii)  $T(c \cdot \vec{v}) = c \cdot T(\vec{v})$

and then show this for the concrete example:

E.g. in the second case you get:

$$\begin{aligned} T(c \cdot \vec{v}) &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} cv_1 \\ cv_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} acv_1 \\ bcv_2 \end{pmatrix} = c \begin{pmatrix} av_1 \\ bv_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= c \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} = c \cdot T(\vec{v}) \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

(and the same for (i))