

---

## GENERAL RELATIVITY FINAL

03.02.2017, Ruppert Blauw, 13:30-16:30

---

Please write your solutions to each of the five problems on a separate sheet of paper and write your name and student number on each sheet! You have 3 hours. Good luck!

A formula:  $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_{\mu}g_{\beta\nu} + \partial_{\nu}g_{\mu\beta} - \partial_{\beta}g_{\mu\nu})$

- In this exam we work in units in which  $c = 1$ . The exam counts as 45% of the grade and contains in total 45 points. In this exam,  $G_N$  denotes Newton's constant.

### X ■ PROBLEM 1 Theoretical questions. (8 pts.)

Answer the following briefly (one or two sentences):

- (2 pts.) Define event horizon.
- (2 pts.) Define trapped surface.
- (2 pts.) What is the ergoregion (in the book it is called ergosphere) of a rotating black hole?
- (2 pts.) Gravitational waves carry energy. State one observation based on which one can conclude that.

### X ■ PROBLEM 2 Birkhoff-Jensen theorem. (15 points)

By performing suitable coordinate transformations on a general metric and by making use of the spherical symmetry one can show that the general metric tensor corresponding to a spherically symmetric geometry (induced by a spherically symmetric mass distribution) can be written as the line element,

$$ds^2 = -e^{\nu(t,r)}dt^2 + e^{\mu(t,r)}dr^2 + r^2(d\vartheta^2 + \sin^2\vartheta d\varphi^2), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  are some functions of  $t$  and  $r$ ,  $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$  and  $\vartheta \in [0, \pi]$  are spherical angles and  $r$  and  $t$  are the radial and time coordinates, respectively. Assume that you know the non-vanishing components of the Riemann tensor for the metric (2.1). They are,

$$\begin{aligned} R^0_{101} &= \frac{1}{4}e^{\mu-\nu} [2\partial_t^2\mu + (\partial_t\mu)^2 - \partial_t\nu\partial_t\mu] + \frac{1}{4} [\partial_r\nu\partial_r\mu - 2\partial_r^2\nu - (\partial_r\nu)^2], \\ R^0_{202} &= -\frac{1}{2}re^{-\mu}\partial_r\nu, & R^0_{303} &= -\frac{1}{2}re^{-\mu}\sin^2\vartheta\partial_r\nu, \\ R^0_{212} &= -\frac{1}{2}re^{-\nu}\partial_t\mu, & R^0_{313} &= -\frac{1}{2}re^{-\nu}\sin^2\vartheta\partial_t\mu, \\ R^1_{212} &= \frac{1}{2}re^{-\mu}\partial_r\mu, & R^1_{313} &= \frac{1}{2}re^{-\mu}\sin^2\vartheta\partial_r\mu, & R^2_{323} &= (1 - e^{-\mu})\sin^2\vartheta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

(a) (4 points) By making use of (2.2) calculate the non-vanishing components of the Ricci tensor. Show that these are,  $R_{00}$ ,  $R_{11}$ ,  $R_{22}$ ,  $R_{33}$ , and  $R_{01} = R_{10}$ . When solving the Einstein vacuum equation you do not need to calculate the Ricci scalar. Why?

(b) (2 points) Show that the  $tr$  component of the Einstein vacuum equation implies that  $\mu$  is independent of time,  $\mu(t, r) = \mu(r)$ .

(c) (2 points) Use (a linear combination of) the remaining components of the Einstein vacuum equation to show that

$$\nu(t, r) = -\mu(r) + f(t) . \quad (2.3)$$

(d) (2 points) Use these results to conclude that the most general spherically symmetric solution to the Einstein equation in the vacuum (after a suitable redefinition of the time coordinate) can be written as

$$ds^2 = -e^{-\mu(r)} dt^2 + e^{\mu(r)} dr^2 + r^2 (d\vartheta^2 + \sin^2 \vartheta d\varphi^2) . \quad (2.4)$$

(e) (2 points) Show that the other components of the Einstein vacuum equation imply,

$$e^{-\mu(r)} = 1 - \frac{R_S}{r} , \quad (2.5)$$

where  $R_S$  is a real constant, so that we obtain the Schwarzschild solution.

(f) (3 points) Make use of the Komar integral for the metric (2.4-2.5) to determine the physical meaning of  $R_S$ . Can  $R_S$  be negative? If yes, explain why yes; if not, explain why not.

Hint: Recall that the Komar integral reads,

$$E_R = \frac{1}{4\pi G_N} \int_{\partial\Sigma} d^2x \sqrt{\gamma_{\partial\Sigma}} n_\mu s_\nu \nabla^\mu K^\nu . \quad (2.6)$$

### ■ PROBLEM 3 Schwarzschild-de Sitter metric (12 points)

In this problem we shall consider the Schwarzschild-de Sitter space-time, whose metric (in static coordinates) is given by,

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2G_N M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2\right) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{2G_N M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2} + r^2 d\Omega^2 , \quad (3.1)$$

where  $M$  is the mass of a body,  $\Lambda$  is the cosmological constant,  $d\Omega^2 = d\vartheta^2 + \sin^2(\vartheta) d\varphi^2$  and  $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi]$  and  $\vartheta \in [0, \pi]$  are spherical angles.

(a) (1 point) Name the Killing vectors of the metric (3.1).

(b) (2 points) Consider a test particle in equatorial plane and show that the equation of motion can be written as,

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{dr}{d\lambda} \right)^2 + V(r, E, L) = \frac{E^2}{2} , \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$V(r, E, L) = \frac{L^2}{2r^2} - \epsilon \frac{G_N M}{r} - \epsilon \frac{\Lambda}{6} r^2 - \frac{L^2 G_N M}{r^3} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} - \frac{L^2 \Lambda}{6} . \quad (3.3)$$

Here  $\lambda$  is an affine parameter,  $\epsilon = 1$  for massive particles and  $\epsilon = 0$  for light and massless particles.  $L = R_\mu dr^\mu/d\lambda$  is the angular momentum per unit mass,  $E = -K_\mu dr^\mu/d\lambda$  is the energy of the test particle per its unit mass and  $R_\mu$  and  $K_\mu$  are the Killing vectors for rotations in the equatorial plane and for time translations, respectively.

- (c) (2 points) Sketch  $V(r)$  defined in Eq. (3.3) for  $\epsilon = 1$  for the relevant qualitatively different cases (there are 3 distinct cases) (assume  $L > 0$ ). Based on your drawings, what do you think, is there always a stable circular orbit in this metric?
- (d) (2 points) Consider radial motion ( $L = 0$ ) and show that there is a point in which, if the test particle is at rest, it can stay there forever. Calculate the radius of that point. Is that point stable under small perturbations, *i.e.* if radius increases (decreases) by a small amount, what will happen to the particle?
- (e) (3 points) Show that the event horizons are determined by the equation,

$$1 - \frac{2G_N M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

This equation has two real positive solutions. One represents the black hole event horizon  $r_{BH}$  and the other the cosmological event horizon  $r_c$ . The metric makes sense only if  $r_{BH} < r_c$  and only in the region where  $r_{BH} < r < r_c$ . Assume for simplicity that the Hubble radius  $r_H = \sqrt{3/\Lambda} \gg r_S \equiv 2G_N M$ , and show that the approximate radii of the two event horizons are,

$$r_c \simeq r_H - \frac{r_S}{2}, \quad r_{BH} \simeq r_S + \frac{r_S^3}{r_H^2}. \quad (3.5)$$

*Hint:* To find (3.5) expand around  $r = r_H$  for the first solution and around  $r = r_S$  for the second solution.

- (f) (2 points) Consider a test particle whose energy  $E = 1$  and show that its coordinate velocity, defined by  $dr/dt$  ( $t \equiv x^0$ ) at the black hole event horizon vanishes. Can you interpret that result?

#### ■ PROBLEM 4 Energy in Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime (10 points)

We have seen two possible definitions of the total energy for spacetimes that admit a timelike Killing vector:

$$E_T = \int_\Sigma d^3x \sqrt{\gamma} n_\mu J_T^\mu, \quad E_R = \frac{1}{4\pi G_N} \int_\Sigma d^3x \sqrt{\gamma} n_\mu J_R^\mu, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\gamma_{ij}$  is the induced metric on a spacelike hypersurface  $\Sigma$  and  $n_\mu$  is the unit normal vector associated with  $\Sigma$  (when  $\Sigma$  is spacelike, then  $n_\mu$  is timelike). Here the conserved currents are defined as

$$J_T^\mu = K_\nu T^{\mu\nu}; \quad J_R^\mu = K_\nu R^{\mu\nu}, \quad (4.2)$$

for Killing vector  $K^\mu$  associated with time translations. In this problem you should interpret the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  as the vacuum energy contribution to the energy-momentum tensor  $T^{\mu\nu}$ , *i.e.*  $\Lambda$  contributes as,  $T^{\mu\nu} \propto \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$ . In this problem you can use results from problem 3.

- (a) (1 point) In de Sitter-Schwarzschild in the given coordinates, what are the meaningful spacelike (spherical) hypersurfaces to consider?
- (b) (2 points) Use the Killing vector identity,  $\nabla_\rho \nabla_\sigma K^\rho = R^\rho_{\sigma\mu\nu} K^\nu$  (you do not need to prove this identity) and Stokes' theorem to express  $E_R$  as a two-dimensional integral.
- (c) (2 points) A priori, it is not clear that a similar trick can be used for  $E_T$ . However, using for instance the definition of the Einstein-Hilbert action including a cosmological constant,

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{16\pi G} (R - 2\Lambda) \right], \quad (1.3)$$

one can find a relation between  $J_T^\mu$  and  $J_R^\mu$ . Derive this relation.

*Hint:* That relation can be obtained by deriving a relation between  $T_{\mu\nu}$  and  $R_{\mu\nu}$ .

- (d) (3 points) Compute  $E_T$  and  $E_R$  as a function of the radius  $r$  of  $\partial\Sigma$ . Are  $E_T$  and  $E_R$  equal? For simplicity, for  $\partial\Sigma$  choose a sphere of constant radius.
- (e) (2 points) The energy-momentum tensor of the 'vacuum energy'  $\Lambda$  is of the perfect fluid form,  $T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)U_\mu U_\nu + pg_{\mu\nu}$ , for timelike four-velocity  $U^\mu = (U^0, 0, 0, 0)$ . What are  $\rho$  and  $p$  in terms of  $\Lambda$ ? Express the  $\Lambda$ -dependent contribution to  $E_T$  and  $E_R$  in terms of  $\rho$  and  $p$ . Can you interpret them physically?