

Measure and Integration: Extra Retake Final 2015-16

- (1) Consider the measure space $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}([0, 1]), \lambda)$, where $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ is the restriction of the Borel σ -algebra to $[0, 1]$, and λ is the restriction of Lebesgue measure to $[0, 1]$. Let E_1, \dots, E_m be a collection of Borel measurable subsets of $[0, 1]$ such that every element $x \in [0, 1]$ belongs to at least n sets in the collection $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^m$, where $n \leq m$. Show that there exists a $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\lambda(E_j) \geq \frac{n}{m}$. (1 pt)

Solution: By hypothesis, for any $x \in [0, 1]$ we have $\sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{1}_{E_j}(x) \geq n$. Assume for the sake of getting a contradiction that $\lambda(E_j) < \frac{n}{m}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then,

$$n = \int_{[0,1]} n \, d\lambda \leq \int \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbf{1}_{E_j}(x) \, d\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda(E_j) < \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{n}{m} = n,$$

a contradiction. Hence, there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\lambda(E_j) \geq \frac{n}{m}$.

- (2) Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a measure space, and $1 < p, q < \infty$ conjugate numbers, i.e. $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Show that if $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$, then there exists $g \in \mathcal{L}^q(\mu)$ such that $\|g\|_q = 1$ and $\int fg \, d\mu = \|f\|_p$. (1 pt)

Solution: Note that $q(p-1) = p$, so we define $g = \operatorname{sgn}(f) \left(\frac{f}{\|f\|_p} \right)^{p-1}$. Then,

$$\int |g|^q \, d\mu = \int \frac{|f|^p}{\|f\|_p^p} \, d\mu = 1.$$

So $\|g\|_q = 1$ and

$$\int fg \, d\mu = \int |fg| \, d\mu = \int \frac{|f|^p}{\|f\|_p^{p-1}} \, d\mu = \|f\|_p.$$

- (3) Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space and μ, ν are finite measure on \mathcal{A} . Show that there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{L}_+^1(\mu) \cap \mathcal{L}_+^1(\nu)$ such that for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\int_A (1-f) \, d\mu = \int_A f \, d\nu.$$

(2 pts)

Proof: First note that $\mu + \nu$ is a measure (Exercise 4.6(ii)), and that $\mu \ll \mu + \nu$. By using a standard argument (first checking indicator functions, then simple functions, then positive functions, then general integrable functions) one sees that for any $g \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu + \nu)$ one has $g \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu) \cap \mathcal{L}^1(\nu)$, and

$$\int g \, d(\mu + \nu) = \int g \, d\mu + \int g \, d\nu.$$

Now the condition $\int_A (1-f) \, d\mu = \int_A f \, d\nu$ is equivalent to $\mu(A) = \int_A f \, d(\mu + \nu)$. Since $\mu \ll \mu + \nu$, then by Radon-Nikodym Theorem there exists $f \in \mathcal{L}_+^1(\mu + \nu)$ such that $\mu(A) = \int_A f \, d(\mu + \nu)$. Thus, $f \in \mathcal{L}_+^1(\mu) \cap \mathcal{L}_+^1(\nu)$ and $\int_A (1-f) \, d\mu = \int_A f \, d\nu$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

- (4) Consider the measure space $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \lambda)$, where $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is the Borel σ -algebra, and λ Lebesgue measure. Determine the value of

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(0, n)} \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{-n} \left(1 - \sin \frac{x}{n}\right) d\lambda(x).$$

(2 pts)

Solution: Let $u_n(x) = \mathbf{1}_{(0, n)} \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{-n} \left(1 - \sin \frac{x}{n}\right)$. The positive sequence $\left(\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{-n}\right)_n$ decreases to $e^{-x} \mathbf{1}_{(0, \infty)}$ and the sequence $\left(1 - \sin \frac{x}{n}\right)_n$ is bounded from below by 0 and from above by 2 and converges to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(x) = \mathbf{1}_{(0, \infty)} e^{-x}$, and $0 \leq u_n(x) \leq 2\left(1 + \frac{x}{2}\right)^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{(0, \infty)}$ for $n \geq 2$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Since the function $2\left(1 + \frac{x}{2}\right)^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{(0, \infty)}$ is measurable, non-negative and the improper Riemann integrable on $(0, \infty)$ exists, it follows that it is Lebesgue integrable on $(0, \infty)$. By Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem (and taking the limit for $n \geq 2$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(0, n)} \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^{-n} \left(1 - \sin \frac{x}{n}\right) d\lambda(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int u_n(x) d\lambda(x) \\ &= \int \mathbf{1}_{(0, \infty)} e^{-x} d\lambda(x) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} dx = 1. \end{aligned}$$

- (5) Let $E_1 = E_2 = \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Let \mathcal{B} be the collection of all subsets of \mathbb{N} . and $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ be counting measure on \mathbb{N} . Let $f : E_1 \times E_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(n, n) = n$, $f(n, n+1) = -n$ and $f(n, m) = 0$ for $m \neq n, n+1$.
- (a) Prove that $\int_{E_1} \int_{E_2} f(n, m) d\mu_2(m) d\mu_1(n) = 0$. (0.75 pt)
- (b) Prove that $\int_{E_2} \int_{E_1} f(n, m) d\mu_1(n) d\mu_2(m) = \infty$. (0.75 pt)
- (c) Explain why parts (a) and (b) do not contradict Fubini's Theorem. (0.5)

Proof (a) For each fixed n one has

$$\int_{E_2} f(n, m) d\mu_2(m) = f(n, n) \mu_2(\{n\}) + f(n, n+1) \mu_2(\{n+1\}) = 0.$$

Thus, $\int_{E_1} \int_{E_2} f(n, m) d\mu_2(m) d\mu_1(n) = 0$.

Proof (b) For each fixed m ,

$$\int_{E_1} f(n, m) d\mu_1(n) = f(m, m) \mu_1(\{m\}) + f(m-1, m) \mu_1(\{m-1\}) = 1.$$

Thus, $\int_{E_2} \int_{E_1} f(n, m) d\mu_1(n) d\mu_2(m) = \int_{E_2} 1 d\mu_2(m) = \mu_2(E_2) = \infty$.

Proof (c) Parts (a) and (b) do not contradict Fubini's Theorem because the function f is not $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$ integrable. This follows from

$$\int_{E_1} \int_{E_2} |f(n, m)| d\mu_2(m) d\mu_1(n) = \int_{E_1} 2n d\mu_1(n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2n = \infty.$$

- (6) Let (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a σ -finite measure space, and (f_j) a uniformly integrable sequence of measurable functions. Define $F_k = \sup_{1 \leq j \leq k} |f_j|$ for $k \geq 1$.
- (a) Show that for any $w \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{A})$,

$$\int_{\{F_k > w\}} F_k d\mu \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\{|f_j| > w\}} |f_j| d\mu.$$

(0.5)

- (b) Show that for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $w_\epsilon \in \mathcal{L}_+^1(\mu)$ such that for all $k \geq 1$

$$\int_X F_k d\mu \leq \int_X w_\epsilon d\mu + k\epsilon.$$

(1 pt)

(c) Show that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \int_X F_k d\mu = 0.$$

(0.5 pt)

Proof (a) Let $w \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{A})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{F_k > w\}} F_k d\mu &\leq \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\{F_k > w\} \cap \{|f_j| = F_k\}} F_k d\mu \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\{|f_j| > w\}} |f_j| d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Proof (b) Let $\epsilon > 0$. By uniform integrability of the sequence (f_j) there exists $w_\epsilon \in \mathcal{L}^+(\mu)$ such that

$$\int_{\{|f_j| > w_\epsilon\}} |f_j| d\mu < \epsilon$$

for all $j \geq 1$. By part (a)

$$\int_{\{F_k > w_\epsilon\}} F_k d\mu \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\{|f_j| > w_\epsilon\}} |f_j| d\mu \leq k\epsilon.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X F_k d\mu &= \int_{\{F_k > w_\epsilon\}} F_k d\mu + \int_{\{F_k \leq w_\epsilon\}} F_k d\mu \\ &\leq k\epsilon + \int_X w_\epsilon d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Proof (c) For any $\epsilon > 0$, by part (b),

$$\frac{1}{k} \int_X F_k d\mu \leq \frac{1}{k} \int_X w_\epsilon d\mu + \epsilon.$$

Thus,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \int_X F_k d\mu \leq \epsilon,$$

for any ϵ . Since $F_k \geq 0$, we see that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \int_X F_k d\mu = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \int_X F_k d\mu = 0.$$