

# Exam Functional Programming

Tuesday, May 23, 2006, 14.00–17.00

EDUC-gamma

The exam consists of four multiple-choice questions (1 point each) and three open questions (2 points each). At the multiple-choice questions, only one choice corresponds to the correct answer. Not answering a multiple-choice question earns you  $\frac{1}{4}$  point. Hand in the solution sheets (pages i–iv), with choices circled and open questions answered; fill in your name and student number in the appropriate boxes.

## Problems

1. PROBLEM [1 PT]: Which of the following is a correct type for  $\text{concat} \circ \text{concat}$ ?
  - a.  $[[a]] \rightarrow [[a]] \rightarrow [[a]]$
  - b.  $[[a]] \rightarrow [[a]] \rightarrow [a]$
  - c.  $[[[a]]] \rightarrow [a]$
  - d.  $[a] \rightarrow [[a]] \rightarrow [a]$  □
2. PROBLEM [1 PT]: Which of the following functions counts the number of subsets of a given set of non-negative integers that sum up to a specific value? You may assume that the list argument is indeed a set—i.e., that each value appears at most once as an element of the list—and that all elements are indeed non-negative.
  - a.  $\text{count } [] \ 0 = 1$   
 $\text{count } [] \ - = 0$   
 $\text{count } (x : xs) \ v = \text{count } xs \ (v - x)$
  - b.  $\text{count } [] \ 0 = 1$   
 $\text{count } xs \ v \mid v < 0 = 0$   
 $\mid xs \equiv [] = 0$   
 $\mid \text{otherwise} = \text{count } (\text{tail } xs) \ (v - \text{head } xs) + \text{count } (\text{tail } xs) \ v$
  - c.  $\text{count } - \ 0 = 1$   
 $\text{count } xs \ v = \text{if } v \leq 0 \ \text{then } 0 \ \text{else } \text{sum } [r \mid x \leftarrow xs, r \leftarrow \text{count } xs \ (v - x)]$
  - d.  $\text{count } xs \ v = \text{sum} \circ \text{map } (\text{const } 1) \circ \text{filter } (v \equiv) \$ \text{segs } xs$  □

3. PROBLEM [1 PT]: Which of the following expressions is equivalent to the list comprehension  $[x + y \mid x \leftarrow [1..10], \text{even } x, y \leftarrow [1..10]]$ ?
- $\text{map } (+) \circ \text{filter } (\text{even} \circ \text{fst}) \$ [(x, y) \mid x \leftarrow [1..10], y \leftarrow [1..10]]$
  - $\text{concat} \circ \text{map } ((\text{flip map } [1..10]) \circ (+)) \circ \text{filter even} \$ [1..10]$
  - $\text{map } (\lambda x \rightarrow \text{map } (x+) [1..10]) \circ \text{concat} \circ \text{filter even} \$ [1..10]$
  - $\text{concat } (\text{zipWith } (+) [2, 4..10] [1..10])$

Note:  $\text{flip } f \ x \ y = f \ y \ x$ . □

4. PROBLEM [1 PT]: Which of the following claims holds?
- The function *return* is idempotent—i.e., in all contexts, *return (return x)* can safely be replaced by *return x*;
  - there exist expressions of type `IO (IO Int)`;
  - if you define an instance of the class `Eq`, you have to at least specify the operator  $(\equiv)$ ;
  - the class `Enum` has a fixed number of instances. □
5. PROBLEM [2 PTS]: One of the disadvantages of the search trees as discussed in the lectures is that they are a bit wasteful. For instance, a singleton value *v* is represented by *Node Leaf v Leaf*. A more efficient data type encodes the emptiness of left and right subtrees in a constructor. For example:

```

data Tree a = Leaf
    | LVR (Tree a) a (Tree a) -- like Node l v r
    | LV (Tree a) a           -- representing Node l v Leaf
    | VR a (Tree a)          -- representing Node Leaf v r
    | V a                    -- representing Node Leaf v Leaf.

```

Define the functions for insertion and deletion for this type of search trees. Hint: use “smart constructors”:

```

node Leaf a Leaf = V a
node Leaf a r    = VR a r
-- etc.

```

□

6. PROBLEM [2 PTS]: Consider the data type `Prop`,

```

data Prop = And Prop Prop
    | Or Prop Prop
    | Implies Prop Prop
    | Cnst Bool
    | Var String.

```

- (1) Give the type signature and definition of the corresponding fold function, *foldProp*.

(2) Use the function *foldProp* to define a function *evalProp* :: Prop → Env → Bool which computes the value of a given proposition in an environment of type Env,

**type** Env = String → Bool.

If you had no clue at part (1), then define *evalProp* directly. □

7. PROBLEM [2 PTS]: Prove by induction on lists that  $\text{foldr } f \ e \ (\text{reverse } xs) = \text{foldl } (\text{flip } f) \ e \ xs$ . You may use the lemma  $\text{foldr } f \ e \ (as ++ [b]) = \text{foldr } f \ (f \ b \ e) \ as$ . □